

12/05/2003 FRI 14:24 FAX

001/004

Suite 300
1700 Diagonal Road
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

TELEPHONE: 703-684-1111
FACSIMILE: 703-518-5499

LOWE HAUPTMAN
GILMAN & BERNER, LLP

FAX

RECEIVED
DEC 5 2003
FBI

To: Examiner Alejandro From: P. Cei for Adam Love
Fax: 703/872-9306 Pages: Including this cover sheet, 4
Re: _____ Date: December 5
Your Ref.: 09/821 753 Our Ref.: 2328-053

☐ Urgent ☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

COMMENTS:

IMPORTANT

The information contained in this facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us at the address above via the U.S. Postal Service. Thank you.

RECEIVED
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20503
DEC 5 2003

Docket No.: 2328-053

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	:	
NI, TUQUIANG	:	
U.S. Patent Application No. 09/821,753	:	Group Art Unit: 1763
Filed: March 30, 2001	:	Examiner: ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L.

For: PLASMA PROCESSING METHOD AND APPARATUS WITH CONTROL OF
PLASMA EXCITATION POWER

Examiner Luz Mulero Alejandro
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LETTER RE: NOVEMBER 6, 2003 TELE-CONFERENCE

SUBMITTED VIA FAX - 703/872-9306

This letter confirms a November 6, 2003 telephone conference between the undersigned attorney for applicant and Examiner Alejandro Mulero concerning the November 3, 2003 Advisory Action.

During the conversation, attorney for applicant pointed out that claim 1 was amended to include the subject matter of claim 7, and claims 2 and 3, as amended December 31, 2002, were dependent on claim 7. In addition, claim 17 was amended to include the subject matter of former claim 19. In the December 31, 2002 Amendment claims 18, 20, 25 and 28 were amended to depend on claim 19. Consequently, the claims considered by the Patent and Trademark Office prior to the final rejection included the same subject matter as the claims considered after the Final Rejection. The only difference between the claims before and after the Final Rejection was the insertion of features of the dependent claims into the independent claims.

During the November 6, 2003, the Examiner asked attorney for applicant to submit a response along the lines of this letter

Based on the foregoing, the amendment after final rejection should be entered. If the Examiner does not enter the Amendment After Final Rejection, she should consider this paper as a Petition to the Commissioner to cause entry of the Amendment After Final Rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP



Allan M. Lowe
Registration No. 19,641

LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP (22429)
1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310
(703) 684-1111 AML/pjc
Facsimile (703) 518-5499
November 6, 2003

12/05/2003 FRI 14:22 FAX

004/004

11/06/2003 THU 16:23 FAX

001

*** TX REPORT ***

TRANSMISSION OK

TX/RX NO	1976
CONNECTION TEL	7038729306ppppp190p9
SUB-ADDRESS	
CONNECTION ID	
ST. TIME	11/06 16:23
USAGE T	00'36
PGS.	2
RESULT	OK

Docket No.: 2328-053

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	:
NI, TUQUIANG	:
U.S. Patent Application No. 09/821,753	: Group Art Unit: 1763
Filed: March 30, 2001	: Examiner: ALEJANDRO MULERO,
	LUZ L.

For: PLASMA PROCESSING METHOD AND APPARATUS WITH CONTROL OF
PLASMA EXCITATION POWER

Examiner Luz Mulero Alejandro
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

LETTER RE: NOVEMBER 6, 2003 TELE-CONFERENCE

SUBMITTED VIA FAX - 703/872-9306

This letter confirms a November 6, 2003 telephone conference between the undersigned attorney for applicant and Examiner Alejandro Mulero concerning the November 3, 2003 Advisory Action.

During the conversation, attorney for applicant pointed out that claim 1 was amended to include the subject matter of claim 7, and claims 2 and 3, as amended December 31, 2002, were dependent on claim 7. In addition, claim 17 was amended to include the subject matter of former claim 10. In the December 31, 2002 Amendment claims 18, 20, 25 and 28 were amended to